WWW: Wake

The wildly thought-provoking first installment of Sawyer’s WWW trilogy, serialized in Analog in 2008 and 2009, explores the origins and emergence of consciousness. Blind teen Caitlin Decter gets an experimental signal-processing implant that inexplicably opens up her vision to the wondrous infrastructure of the World Wide Web. Inside the Web is a newborn “webmind,” a globe-spanning self-contained consciousness that is just becoming aware of the outside world. Secondary plot threads about a highly intelligent hybrid primate and Chinese bloggers battling a repressive government extend the motif of expanding awareness. The thematic diversity—and profundity—makes this one of Sawyer’s strongest works to date. Numerous dangling plot threads are an unnecessary pointer to the forthcoming books; readers will keep coming back for the ideas. (Apr.)

★ Starred reviews denote books of exceptional merit

“Wildly thought-provoking. The thematic diversity — and profundity — makes this one of Sawyer’s strongest works to date.”
ROLLBACK

Robert J. Sawyer. Tor, $24.95 (320p)
ISBN 0-765-31108-9

Canadian author Sawyer (*Mindscan*) once again presents likable characters facing big ethical dilemmas in this smoothly readable near-future SF novel. Astronomer Sarah Halifax, who translated the first message from aliens and helped prepare humanity’s response, is 87 when the second, encrypted message arrives 38 years later. To aid the decoding, a tycoon buys rejuvenation treatments for Sarah and Don, her husband of 60 years; however, only Don becomes young again. While coping with the physical indignities of old age, Sarah tries to figure out the puzzle of the second message. The bond between Don and Sarah continues, even while Don is joyfully and guiltily discovering the pleasures of living in a young body again. They want to do what’s right for each other and the rest of humanity—for the aliens, too—if they can figure out what “right” could be. By its nature, a story about moral choices tends to get talky, but the talk is intelligent and performed by sympathetic and believable people. Sawyer, who has won Hugo and Nebula awards, may well win another major SF award with this superior effort.

* Starred reviews denote books of exceptional merit.
Rollback
Robert J. Sawyer; $29.95 cloth 978-0-765-31108-5, 320 pp., 5¼ x 8¼, Tor Books/H.B. Fenn, April

In Rollback, bestselling Toronto science-fiction writer Robert J. Sawyer explores both the nature of time and the questions surrounding the scientific ability to fundamentally alter the human lifespan. In the process, he also delves deeply into the strange territory of the human heart.

It’s 2048. Scientists have just received a new radio signal from Sigma Draconis. (A previous one arrived some 38 years earlier, it taking 19 years for such signals to travel the distance between Earth and the distant star system.) The world looks to Dr. Sarah Halifax, who decoded the aliens’ first message and crafted humanity’s response, to crack the new code and to continue her correspondence with this new life form.

Unfortunately, Sarah is 87 years old. Even if she does manage to crack the alien code, she will not survive to see the next stage of communication.

Science steps in in the form of a “rollback,” an expensive combination of surgery and genetic tweaking that restores a person’s physical age to their early twenties. The process would allow Sarah to continue her work for more than a hundred years. She agrees to it, with one condition: her husband Don must be offered the same rollback. Don’s rollback is a success, but Sarah’s procedure fails. As Don struggles to adjust to his renewed body, Sarah scrambles against time and her own mortality to decode the alien message.

While Rollback is inarguably a thought-provoking novel of ideas, it is also, at its core, a fundamentally human story: what is a lifetime? How do we measure a human life if it is potentially limitless in duration? As always in Sawyer’s work, the human costs of scientific progress take the foreground. Don must grieve not only for the unavoidable loss of his beloved wife, but for aspects of his own life. The rollback forces him to live a bifurcated life, as a healthy young man with the experiences and psychic scars of more than 80 years of life.

The repercussions of the rollback surgery are genuinely surprising, but rooted firmly in the skillfully crafted and realistic thoughts and emotions of Don and Sarah. The alien-encounter plotline takes a backseat through much of the book. When the plotlines converge late in the book, it is a reminder of why Sawyer is one of our most highly regarded writers of speculative fiction, able to handle the demands of the heart and the cosmos with equal skill.

— Robert J. Wiersema, author of Before I Wake (Random House Canada).

What might it mean to be human — and how might it feel to be human — when individual minds can be instantiated in separate bodies? Once a fairly popular SF trope, found typically in stories and novels about human cloning, the question has lately been asked with renewed energy by scientists and writers alike. In *Mindscan*, his new standalone novel, Robert Sawyer adds an exciting crowd pleaser to the growing library of SF novels that explore this intriguing idea. Richly informed by current interdisciplinary research in the burgeoning field of consciousness studies, and alive with provocative speculation of its own, *Mindscan* is a heady brew of hard SF, blended with enough comedy, romance, and adventure to appeal to a wider audience, as well.

The novel opens in 2018, when Canadian teenager Jake Sullivan witnesses the stroke that reduces his father to a persistent vegetative state, a stroke brought on by a congenital brain disease called Katerinsky’s syndrome. The condition is hereditary, and Jake is at risk. Cut to the year 2045: Jake, now middle-aged, elects to cheat Katerinsky’s via the newly developed Mindscan technique, having his conscious mind copied into a near-indestructible android body, while his biological body (and original consciousness) takes up residence in High Eden, a kind of retirement paradise established on the far side of the moon by the Immortrex corporation, creators of the Mindscan process. Premise in place, the novel then kicks into high gear: Jake (the “new Jake”) falls in love with Karen Bessarian, an octogenarian who has undergone the same process; Jake (the “original” Jake), finding himself on the moon, has second thoughts about his new status. Karen’s son, meanwhile, sues the “new” version of his mother in probate court upon the death of his “original” mother, and from there, things get complicated, suspenseful, and eventually violent.

That, at least, is the Michael Crichton-ish chassis for this vehicle. But Sawyer, no mere manufacturer of thrillers, locates the serious fun of his novel in its bundle of scientific ideas. Whether discussing such neurological issues as the role of sleep in consolidating memory, or playing with concepts of quantum entanglement, or inventing nanogel brain surgeries, *Mindscan* is a Hard SF reader’s delight. At the same time, Sawyer is nobody’s starry-eyed idealist about the wonderful world of science. He can be quite sobering, for instance, about the potential horrors that could ensue if consciousness studies were to become an actively experimental science, and he is mordantly funny about the potential lack of appeal of cyborg sex: “I wanted it to be sexy,” a character complains, “but it was just plastic and Teflon rubbing together, silicon chips and synthetic lubricants.” Sawyer has worked with some of these ideas before — most notably in last year’s “Shed Skin,” the *Analog* story which was the starting point for this novel, and to some extent in his two earlier novels, *The Terminal Experiment* (1995) and *Factoring Humanity* (1998) — but *Mindscan* is both deeper and wider than these predecessors.

Especially wider. Pulling out all the pop fiction stops, Sawyer also serves up an excellent courtroom drama, a bittersweet comedy, tons of adventure — even a simple trip to the moon, in Sawyer’s hands, is made to seem exciting again — and plenty of touches that are just plain fun. It’s a kick, for instance, that Karen Bessarian is the famous author of the DinoWorld series (a nod to Sawyer’s own *Quintaglio Ascension*), and it’s an even bigger hoot when she is offered a $100 million advance for her next novel. (O brave new world, that has such treats for writers in it!) All this, plus the thriller, plus the romance, are shoehorned with surprising ease into a book that is already stuffed with long discussions of the work of science superstar Roger Penrose, micro-lectures on opposing language theorists John Searles and Daniel Dennett, and extended asides on Julian Jaynes’s seminal *The Origin of Consciousness in the Breakdown of the Bicameral Mind*.

For readers who can’t get enough of the hard stuff, *Mindscan* includes an annotated bibliography of books on consciousness, and also puts in a plug for that valuable publication, *The Journal of Consciousness Studies*. Even more readers, though, will find themselves going back to their bookstores for more helpings of Robert Sawyer.
Our home and native outland

Hominids
By Robert J. Sawyer
Tor, 441 pages, $35.95

REVIEWED BY SHANE NEILSON

Science fiction is plot-driven prose, prone to hurtle starward at light-speed. There are always an intergalactic hero and heroine, and an evil genius/force/empire to contend with; a requisite interspecies cast o'plenty encourages subplot multiplicity. Strange phenomena occur under the laws of murky physics, which dictate: The bigger the word, the better the obfuscation. Like fantasy, its technologically challenged fraternal twin, SF is genre fiction, the medium of computer addicts, adolescent males and role-playing game enthusiasts.

Or so the popular convention goes. For the aesthete, SF is regarded as marginal, a forward-looking backwater of literature, a place where style is thrown into the warp engines and sacrificed to increase speed.

Robert Sawyer is unapologetic about his chosen mode, being on record that he is "a science-fiction writer — I make no bones about that." Such an overt challenge to the snob distracts from the hybrid creation that Sawyer has created in Hominids, his 10th novel, which supplies S-C-I-E-N-C-E in the requisite heavy doses, but which also crosses the purely technical with applied story, creating a viable species capable of appealing both to the fan and to the literary-minded.

Hominids consists of three main narrative strands. A science-fiction "parallel universe" subplot involves a simple premise: What if there existed another Earth, populated by Neanderthals and not by Homo sapiens, and what if these two worlds intersected? Hominids is largely the tale of Ponter Bonditt, a Neanderthal physicist turned explorer in the aftermath of a failed lab experiment.

In a rape-crisis/psychological-trauma strand, a female professor deals with her own violation and develops feelings for our stranded Neanderthal.

Finally, a courtroom-drama strand has a man in the alternate universe falsely accused of complicity in Bonditt's disappearance. His adjudicated battles against a unique prosecution offset the Neanderthal media frenzy back on "our" Earth.

Sawyer reduces SF fabulism to a minimum by several means. First, he uses present-day Sudbury, Ont., as a setting. Second, current pop culture (Cosmo, The X-Files and the Darwin Awards are invoked in the first chapter alone) is ubiquitous throughout the book. Third, the resolute Canadianess of Hominids offsets its imaginative impossibilities, giving good counterpoint to futuristic elements. All of the Earth-based situations and events have a contextual plausibility, yet the rest, occurring on an "alternate world," adopt a conventional genre hokeyness. One either accepts SF stock-in-trade in alternative descriptions — time cannot be in years, months or days, but is instead divided into "ten-months" and the like — or one revolts against the rose-is-a-rose modifications to contemporary concepts.

Sawyer sells so well in Canada because of his celebration of our culture; citizens seek him out for both a good story and affirmation of our identity. Where else in commercial science fiction would Canada's book-trade magazine, Quill & Quire, be mentioned? (I experienced a slight nationalist thrill when I read that detail.) Who else offhandedly mentions 24 Sussex Drive as residence for a leader? I hope foreign editions preserve our home-and-native-landisms.

In the opinion of this aesthete, Sawyer has written a rapidly plotted, anthropologically saturated speculative novel, endearing because of its counter-economic Canadianess and its Sawyer-signature wide appeal: The author refuses to limit his audience to spaceship aficionados and "galaxy far, far away" freaks. By writing about us, he has pried himself loose from the SF purgatory of adolescent males and role-players, and onto the bestseller lists.

Shane Neilson is a Labrador writer who usually has no taste for SF.
When mammoths roamed

Hominids
By Robert J. Sawyer
Tor Books, $35.95

Reviewed by MOIRA L. MacKINNON
Special to The Hamilton Spectator

Some scientists call it the Upper Paleolithic Revolution, others The Great Leap Forward. Approximately 40,000 years ago, something happened to our ancestors. Suddenly, after 60,000 years of banging stones together to create crude tools, they began to paint beautiful pictures on cave walls. They started to wear jewellery, and to bury grave objects with their dead.

Whatever caused this spark, this flowering of cognition only occurred in one of the two species of hominids then occupying our planet. Cro-Magnon made the leap, Neanderthals didn’t, and by 27,000 years ago only one of the two species was left. In what was possibly the very first example of genocide, Cain killed Abel.

Quantum mechanics postulates the existence of alternate, or parallel, universes. Every time a quantum interaction occurs, every time a decision is made, a new universe is created. What if, in one of those alternate universes, Neanderthals received the spark? What if they, not Homo Sapiens, became the dominant species?

That is the premise Robert Sawyer examines in Hominids, his latest science fiction novel.

Ponter Boddit is a quantum physicist. He is also a Neanderthal. He and his partner Adikor are working in their quantum-computing lab deep inside a mine when something goes catastrophically wrong. Ponter is catapulted into another world, a parallel universe where scientists are also working in a mine.

Louise Benoit, a postdoc at the Sudbury Neutrino Observatory deep inside Inco’s Creighton Mine, is stunned when a man suddenly appears inside the 12-metre acrylic sphere that holds the heavy water crucial to their experiment. Questions about how he got there are less immediately important than saving him from drowning. It is only when they reach the nearby hospital that she and her colleagues discover that the man they have just rescued is not human.

Hominids is an ambitious work, the start of a trilogy (Humans is due in February 2003, with Hybrids following in December). David Hartwell, Robert Sawyer’s editor at Tor, compares Hominids to Robert Heinlein’s 1961 seminal classic Stranger in a Strange Land. Although Sawyer may be flattered by this comparison to one of his heroes, the two works are very dissimilar. They do however share one thing, what one might call the data perspective: examining our world from a perspective that is not quite human.

Comparing the two books overlooks what I think is one of the major strengths of Hominids, the depiction of the Neanderthal society. Heinlein never goes into much detail about the Martian way of life, whereas Sawyer has given much thought to how a very different species of hominid might arrange society and impact the world. Imagine a world where houses are grown and mammoths still roam. A world where privacy is non-existent, but crime is almost unknown.

Maclean’s magazine calls Robert Sawyer one of the most successful Canadian authors with 25 national and international awards, including the Nebula Award for Best Novel of the Year for The Terminal Experiment, and no less than seven Aurora Awards, Canada’s top science fiction award.

What makes his novels so memorable is the combination of thought-provoking ideas with detailed research, served up with Canadian backgrounds and believable protagonists.

Although I had a little difficulty believing that any race of people as obviously intelligent, peaceful and well-adjusted as Ponter Boddit and his fellow Neanderthals would actually want further contact with us, I look forward eagerly to seeing more of them. Hopefully, they will survive the experience, unlike their ancestors in our universe.

Moira L. MacKinnon is a writer in Gloucester, Ont.
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In the conclusion of the Neanderthal Parallax trilogy (Hominids, 2002, and Humans [BKL Ja 1 & 15 03] precede it), scientists and lovers Mary Vaughan, who is human, and Ponter Boddit, who is Neanderthal, embark on the harrowing adventure of conceiving a child together. To overcome the genetic barbed wire of mismatched chromosomes, they must use banned technology obtainable only from a Neanderthal scientist living in the northern wilderness, alone but not isolated, for Neanderthals prefer a nonprivate society in which injured persons are quickly rescued, theft is unknown, and personal violence is contained, thanks to permanently implanted personal monitors—a society whose benefits Sawyer persuasively describes. The Neanderthals' electronic surveillance is compatible with their basic peacefulness, however, and can’t begin to cope with human craftiness or the malevolent racism of one of Mary’s colleagues, who considers Ponter’s world as a plum ripe for picking. If his ambitions constitute one alarming threat to a society, the imminent collapse of Earth’s magnetic field constitutes another, for it is feared that this will wreak havoc with human consciousness. In an excellent closing twist, a New Year’s celebration is disrupted in a very alarming, uniquely human manner as a few Neanderthals watch dumbfounded. A fine combination of love story, social commentary, and ecothriller closes a terrific series with a bang. —Roberta Johnson

Booklist
Published by the American Library Association
★ “Starred review,” denoting a book of exceptional merit
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contemporary audiences, King James–era readers, and Paul’s original addresses. This poem is much more of a lecture, losing most of the personal narrative tone found elsewhere in the book, and as such seems out of place. Yet in the final two stanzas, it gives the resolution needed. Luthor, in a more introspective move than any of the other narrators, turns inward to transcend Superman as an independent entity, and finds his true meaning is in ourselves:

\[ \ldots \text{We, the world we read, are Torah.} \]
\[ \text{Superman, the constancy of his concupiscent star, is less than this. A big red S. A text we read too lightly.} \]

In the end, the S, which according to Clark was not even a letter originally, becomes a variable cryptogram, not only for Superman, but for all the myths, social, political, and religious, that are built on the concept of the superbeing—from Nazi to savior. If the poems in Krypton Nights are sometimes obscure, and if the questions they pose are never satisfactorily answered, perhaps that is as should be. Like the best prose sf, this book examines the paradoxes that haunt the human race, and opens doors to greater mysteries. In a broader sense, the text Luthor refers to is not just Superman, or the three Supermans, but any text, any ideology, that we read too lightly, accept unquestioningly. In a recent interview, Dietrich addressed the problem of interpretation:

See, the poems, the “answers,” are only ever questions. All words make us associate, and in association lies all beauty, all context, all meaning, all metaphor. What we want to believe, the “maybe,” is what matters. Not what “is.” Because there is no is. . . . None of which means the same exact thing to each of you reading this. Does it mean nothing then? No, it means everything. Thus “maybe” is the universe. —

Curtis Shumaker lives in Owatonna, Minnesota.

Richard Parent

**Double Vision: Robert Sawyer’s Utopian Dystopia**

Robert J. Sawyer’s ambitious new trilogy, *The Neanderthal Parallax*, presents a provocative challenge to literary analysis—its hybridized nature brings together utopian, dystopian, and traditional sf tropes. Though genre seepage is not a new phenomenon by any measure, Sawyer’s series shifts tone and emphasis at breakneck speed, switching between its personalities with *Sybil*-like suddenness. What begins as page-turning sf quickly becomes old-school utopia, abandoning all signs of sf. Soon enough, utopia itself is replaced with dystopia, and it is almost as if Bellamy’s Julian West, from *Looking Backward*, had awakened in 1984, not 2000. For the rest of the trilogy, Sawyer flashes moments of each of his three modes before our eyes, never allowing any one of them to become dominant and thus define the series. Even more intriguing than Sawyer’s deftness at writing in multiple styles, though, is his ability to make the *Neanderthal* gestalt an enjoyable read, no matter how much or how often each of the traditions interferes with the others. In this article I will explore the resonance and interference resulting from Sawyer’s blending of genres and traditions into a single narrative which, I will argue, gives rise to a revitalized reformation of the utopian tradition.

The *Neanderthal Parallax* is composed of three novels, symmetrically titled *Hominids*, *Humans*, and *Hybrids*, describing the adventures and experiences of Homo neanderthalensis geneticist Ponter Boddit and Homo sapiens geneticist Mary Vaughan. In the first book, *Hominids*, Boddit and his man-mate (more on this later) Adikor Huld inadvertently punched a hole from their world of evolved Neanderthals to a parallel world of evolved *Homo sapiens* through a quantum computing mishap, stranding Boddit on the parallel Earth. The second book, *Humans*, continues the parallel-reality exchanges between Neanderthals and humans, as Boddit has further adventures in the human world and Vaughan travels to the Neanderthal world. Throughout, the nascent emotional and sexual relationship between Boddit and Vaughan grows and deepens.

In *Hybrids*, Boddit and Vaughan continue their cross-species romance and decide to use a Neanderthal DNA codon writer to conceive a child together — one of the many “hybrids” of the novel’s title. The cultural differences between Barast and Gliksin (the Neanderthal terms used throughout the series to designate his and her respective species), as well as the machinations of the scheming U.S. government and its secret think tanks, pose a series of obstacles for the lovers as they struggle to solidify their new relationship. Along the way, the trilogy’s doubled stranger-in-a-strange-land premise allows for a potent blend of outsider and insider perspectives on the imagined world of the Barasts and on our own world.

Speculation, Criticism, Hybridization

As a speculative mode of fiction, sf usually engages in cultural criticism of some sort. Without a firm, comprehensive sense of the world as it is, the sf writer is unable to speculate on what might be. For speculation to function, it must be plausible, which is a function of the author’s ability to discern trends that are immanent but not yet fully emergent in contemporary culture. A true sign of the sf author’s skill, then, is his ability to create plausible worlds, with “plausibility” being an indication of the correspondence between the author’s creation—no matter how distant spatially or chronologically—and the present, and the level of insight the author’s analysis of contemporary life demonstrates.

In Sawyer’s *Neanderthal Parallax*, the nova that define the novel as sf are all introduced via the Barast Earth existing parallel to the more familiar Gliksin one. Having an entire world to play with—though much, if not all, of Sawyer’s extrapolations of an evolved Neanderthal world and its civilization are based on current research into hominid development and culture—allows Sawyer to depict the fictional Gliksin world as being indistinguishable from our own. In fact, as in his earlier novel, *Calculating God*, Sawyer goes to great lengths to establish the Gliksin world as not merely a recognizable realistic and unfamiliar setting, but as recognizably our world. By staging the Gliksin-world scenes at reasonably well-known, extant locations such as the University of Toronto, York University, and the Sudbury Neutrino Observatory, and by including a multitude of references to current papal encyclicals, popular culture, and a wide array of recent scientific research findings, Sawyer intensifies the reader’s sense that the world and the time about which she is reading is her own. The reader is thus led to believe that Sawyer’s criticisms of this narrative world are meant to be read as criticisms of our world.

Sawyer has titled the trilogy *The Neanderthal Parallax*, a sly double reference to the books’ doubled settings on the Barast and Gliksin Earths, as well as an indication of Sawyer’s vision of the relationship between the utopian and dystopian elements of the trilogy. “Parallax” refers to the optical maps created through stereoscopic binocular vision—because our eyes see from slightly different positions on our heads, the brain is able to combine the two images into a 3-D representation of the world. Similarly, Sawyer positions the two worlds, one with strong utopian elements, the other strongly dystopian, in order to create a more complete, more realistic, more highly nuanced portrait of both fictional worlds and, at the same time, of the real world.

**Utopia with a Pronounced Brow-Ridge**

In traditional utopian narratives, (e.g., More’s *Utopia*, Bellamy’s *Looking Backwards*, Morris’s *News From Nowhere*), the imperfect protagonist reaches utopia, is given a tour of the environs and lectured by a knowledgeable utopian local, and then leaves or is ejected from the perfection of utopia. Returned to our imperfect world, the protagonist reports back on what he has seen, presumably to foster progress toward the more exalted state he has witnessed. In traditional dystopian fiction, on the other hand, the protagonist is not an outsider to the imagined world, but is a resident. This makes dystopian narration not so much travel reportage as the author’s presentation of
the daily life of a dystopian subject, presumably presented to readers to prevent degeneration toward the abject state the author has imagined. In other words, both utopian and dystopian narratives advance an essentially optimistic vision of humanity. In the utopian mode, the author’s imagined paradise is presented as achievable by current peoples, though often only through much long and difficult work described in the narrative as the already-achieved utopia’s history. Even dystopian fiction operates on an essentially optimistic premise—though the protagonist may not be able to escape the dystopian world, the readers possess the power to effect change and avoid this undesirable future. Analyzing the form of Sawyer’s optimism in *The Neanderthal Parallax* should, therefore, provide a clue as to whether the series is essentially utopian or dystopian in its worldview.

As the trilogy’s titles suggest, the series focuses on the two parallel worlds, Barast and Gliksin, and on the eventual hybridization of their species and cultures. In Sawyer’s formulation, the Barast Earth is a veritable paradise, environmentally unspoil’d, unburdened by overpopulation, and free of practically all crime and violence. In most respects, Sawyer’s world of evolved Neanderthals seems an ideal fulfillment of Ruth Levitas’s pronouncement that “the essence of utopia seems to be desire—the desire for a different, better way of being” (181). Levitas’s description is broad enough to include the traditional utopias as easily as contemporary sf texts, many of which—like *The Neanderthal Parallax*—deviate from the classic model of utopian literature. As Levitas explains, utopia “involves the imagining of a state of being in which the problems which actually confront us are removed or resolved, often, but not necessarily, through the imagining of a state of the world in which the scarcity gap is closed or the ‘collective problem’ solved” (191). This definition seems an especially useful one, as it can accommodate the utopian elements in a non-utopian or hybrid text just as easily as texts whose narrative structure does nothing but depict a fully actualized utopian state, such as Book II of Sir Thomas More’s *Utopia*.

Sawyer’s *Neanderthal Parallax* approaches both the “scarcity gap” and the “collective problem” in interesting and culturally relevant ways. Following current anthropological and paleontological evidence, Sawyer’s Barasts are strict hunter-gatherers, eschewing agriculture and the rise of the large cities that agriculture allowed. Because of the limited sustainability of the hunter-gatherer way of life, Sawyer portrays his evolved Neanderthals as being forced to avoid overpopulation through a strict policy of zero population growth. Additionally, the high-protein, low-carbohydrate diet of hunter-gatherers closely resembles current diet crazes, such as the Atkins and South Beach diets. Sawyer seems to take great pleasure in describing the health benefits gained by the Barasts from their diet, especially when compared with the high-carb diet of the Gliksins. Sawyer’s descriptions of the nutritionally utopian elements of the Barast world are wry and unanticipated pleasures, unusual for the genre.

Though utopia has been understood and depicted in countless ways, the paradigmatic model metaphorically and literally reflects the essential elements of Plato’s *Republic* and Thomas More’s *Utopia*. As Laurent Gervereau explains, “Utopia presents itself as the epicenter of truth” (358), harkening back to both the circular nature of More’s Island and Plato’s City, as well as their enlightened systems of government and daily life. Ideal utopian rule prevents the unwanted, unexpected, and unpleasant from occurring. As the epitome of human social evolution, further change and progress is not only unnecessary but completely unwanted in utopia. Any further tampering could only bring about its demise.

Sawyer’s Barast world can be interpreted as an attempt to portray what Gervereau describes as the utopian “end of time” in the most positive possible light (360). Though birth rates must be firmly regulated, couples do not exist in a state of continued procreative frustration. Instead of imposing birth control technology upon themselves, the Barasts in Sawyer’s novels have reconfigured their social arrangement to maximize personal and emotional satisfaction, while preventing unwanted pregnancy. They achieve this through a system of universal bisexuality and enforced gender-segregation. For four days out of each month, “Two become One” on the Barast world, and opposite-sex couples freely cohabitate and have sex—each female Barast with her “man-mate,” and each male with his “woman-mate.” The remaining days of each month, female Barasts live in the city centers, and male Barasts live in the outlying suburban rings. During this time, each Barast lives and has sex with his or her same-sex man-mate or woman-mate. As the female Barasts all live in close proximity in the city centers, their menstrual cycles are uniform and synchronized. This allows for the calculation of optimal times to schedule Two-becoming-One to prevent pregnancy. Only once in each decade is Two-becoming-One scheduled to occur at a fertile period, thus giving rise to the next generation of Barasts.

As a model for optimism, Sawyer’s Barast world presents a utopian situation that has no real connection to our own worldview or lifestyle philosophies. No matter how enlightened we poor Gliksins become, we cannot achieve the Barast ideal without a radical reconfiguration of our sexual identities and relationships, a nearly complete rejection of the current mode of food production and consumption and a mass extinction of humanity (there are only 185 million Barasts on their entire globe, compared with the 6 billion humans on earth now). Of these, the first two might be considered par for the course in utopian thought, but the requirements of the last point make this solution unworkable and of questionable morality.

**Dystopia in Our Own Backyard**

In contrast to the idyllic Barast world, Sawyer’s Gliksin Earth is depicted as being overcrowded, polluted, chaotic, prone to warfare, and filled with powerful individuals and governments twisted by perverse dreams of conquest, entitlement, and the accumulation of power and resources. In other words, it is easily recognizable as a mimetic representation of the real world. Sawyer’s Gliksin Earth is replete with dystopian elements. For instance, on Gliksin Earth, unlike on the non-violent Barast Earth, women are not safe. Book One of the trilogy quickly introduces this theme through a major plot point: the rape of Mary Vaughan by an unknown assailant. Vaughan’s reactions to the violation propel her into the trilogy’s parallel worlds plot and motivate her willingness to consider an emotional relationship with Boddit. As the series progresses, we see that Vaughan’s attacker has no plans to stop and rapes the female chair of Vaughan’s department at York University.

In his exploration of the Gliksin Earth, Ponter Boddit’s prodigious olfactory sensitivity is a torment to him, assaulted by the ever-present stench of pollution that we have learned to ignore. Used to the low Barast population density, Boddit is appalled at the deprivations that poverty imposes on so many Gliksins. Attempting to foil the first Barast diplomatic mission to the Gliksin United Nations, a religious fundamentalist attempts to assassinate the visiting Barasts. And as if adding insult to injury, the U.S. government plans ways of exploiting humanity for the Barasts so that the U.S. can colonize and control the unspoiled environment of the Barast Earth. Add to these large-scale issues the multitude of small observations Sawyer includes on the daily indignities, wrongs, and prejudices Gliksins must both perpetuate and endure, and the dystopian portrait of the Gliksin Earth—our Earth—is devastating.

In his book *Scraps of the Untainted Sky*, Tom Moylan summarizes the traditional dystopian plot as following the “everyday lives of everyday people.” He explains that “The story line then develops around that alienated protagonist as she or he begins to recognize the situation for what it really is and thus to trace the relationship between individual experience and the operation of the entire system” (xiii). In the case of *The Neanderthal Parallax*, Mary Vaughan’s journey to dystopian awareness begins with her rape and continues throughout the trilogy as she becomes aware of her position in the Gliksin world. Put into sharp contrast by Boddit’s descriptions of, as well as her own experiences in, the Barast world, the dystopian nature of the Gliksin world becomes an inescapable conclusion for Vaughan. Traditional dystopian narratives such as this one support Mark Rose’s conclusion that “The issue in these narratives, then, is ultimately one of opposed points of view, and thus the dystopia . . . readily becomes a drama of consciousness” (167). This is doubly true in Sawyer’s *Neanderthal Parallax*, as the plot follows both Mary Vaughan’s evolving awareness and consciousness of her position in both worlds, as well as the phenomenon of consciousness as it developed, evolved, and is still being manipulated on both worlds.

M. Keith Booker points out the connections between utopia and dystopia, paranoia and consciousness, glibly noting that “one man’s
utopia [is] another man’s dystopia” (15). The interconnection between utopia and dystopia entails the interpenetration of utopia and dystopia at all points, differentiated only by the subjectivity of perception. This commingling led Gary Westfahl to coin the neologism “pontopia” to describe more accurately the conflated states of utopia and dystopia in most sf texts. For Westfahl, a pontopia “presents a clearly imperfect society; is a story with an ongoing melodramatic conflict between good and evil; and is a story where scientific progress and inventions provide moments of joy and incremental improvements but promise no final perfection” (229). Westfahl’s pontopiads lead not to the establishment of utopia but rather to a heightened awareness of the factors retarding such an establishment.

Sawyer adds a welcome complication to his utopian and dystopian worlds—providing enough information about each to recognize the incompletely perfect or imperfect nature of each world. In other words, Sawyer’s Barast Earth contains few but severe dystopian elements, and his Gliksin world similarly contains a few moments of goodness and beauty. Thus, The Neanderthal Parallax seems an excellent example of Westfahl’s notion of pontopia. As we have already seen, Sawyer’s utopia is out of reach unless we countenance deliberate depopulation and eliminate 97% of currently living humans. Sawyer’s dystopia, as well, works to preclude the usual sense of optimism. Instead of presenting his readers with a relatively comforting “if this goes on” scenario, Sawyer has gone to great lengths to force the realization that “this is already going on.” Thus, no amount of societal correction can avert the dawning of Sawyer’s dystopia and, simultaneously, even achieving his pontopian utopia would necessarily entail the creation of dystopian elements.

Sawyer shows us that maintaining the static near-perfection of civic order and peace of the Barast utopia requires that its members adhere to strict rules and impose harsh penalties for individuals who break the laws. The tremendous physical strength of the Barasts makes them lethal, able to kill with a single punch to the skull. Regulating against this potential destructiveness is a legal prohibition against violence that mandates that anyone convicted of violence will be executed and, to eradicate any genetic predisposition toward violent behavior, all individuals sharing fifty percent of the criminal’s genetic code (parents, siblings, and children) are immediately sterilized.

Compounding the dystopian impression, Sawyer achieves Ruth Levitas’s utopian goal of solving the “collective problem,” the dilemma of how to exist as an independent individual while remaining an obedient member of a social collective, through the introduction of mandatory “companions.” During childhood, each Barast receives an artificially intelligent computer monitoring device implanted in his or her forearm. These companions connect the Barasts to the global information networks, but they also record every action undertaken, the location, and the spoken and written words of each Barast. These records in the “Alibi Archives” at the central “Hall of Records” are kept absolutely private except in case of legal investigation. In this way, the safety of all Barasts is ensured, as false accusations and mistaken identities are impossible. It also, however, turns the Barast Earth into a surveillance state reminiscent of Orwell’s “telescreens,” even if the Barasts welcome the trade.

Furthermore, as might be imagined, Sawyer’s Gliksin protagonist, Dr. Mary Vaughan experiences a great deal of trouble adjusting to the segregated lifestyle of the Barasts. She follows Boddit to his world, treating Ponter Boddit upon his arrival on the parallel Gliksin Earth. Aside from Monteongo, the rest of the Gliksin men in the trilogy are depicted as rapists driven psychotic by political correctness and affirmative action, cold-blooded murderers, or government-sanctioned sociopaths. Sawyer ties his narrative perspective to Mary Vaughan’s whose traumatic rape experience makes her distrust Gliksin males, which helps to explain the consistently unfavorable descriptions of Gliksin men and their motives. It also, however, enables an old and patently offensive stereotype about female sexuality.

Sawyer’s depiction of Gliksin men … for instance … is, with only one exception, uniformly negative. In The Neanderthal Parallax, the only good man is Ruben Monteongo, a Jamaican physician who first treats Ponter Boddit upon his arrival on the parallel Gliksin Earth. Sawyer places Monteongo in the trilogy to counteract the unflattering depictions of Gliksin men. Monteongo is depicted as a caring, compassionate individual instead of the usual stereotype of a rapist. However, even Monteongo is depicted as a threatened man who must constantly protect himself from violent attacks.

Sawyer’s depiction of Barasts as being inherently bisexual is an interesting speculation, and leads to many intriguing consequences and observations throughout the trilogy. Importantly, though, it also establishes an immediate conflict with Mary Vaughan’s heterosexuality. If Vaughan is to form a hybridized life with Boddit, she must adapt
herself to the Barast sexual dynamic and become bisexual, which she does, though admittedly only after hundreds of pages of soul-searching and squeamishness. In a nice glimpse of psychological depth, Sawyer allows Vaughan to second-guess her attraction to Boddit, wondering whether her feelings are caused by the fact that he is a Barast … and therefore not a Gliksin male, like her rapist. But the fact remains that, after her rape, Vaughan becomes bisexual and lives most of the time in a lesbian relationship with the Barast who will eventually become her woman-mate when Two-are-not-One.

Finally, The Neanderthal Parallax is obsessed with the question of the validity and origin of religious experience, returning to issues raised in Sawyer’s earlier novel, Calculating God. That book depicted a lengthy debate between an atheist human paleontologist and an alien whose race implicitly believes in the existence of God. Throughout Calculating God, the human and the alien each presents reasons for his belief or non-belief, and each counters these with scientific or theological refutations. The debate is only resolved by an Act of God, the creation of a shield protecting both Earth and the alien home world from the destructive energy of a new supernova. Both recognize that only God, or a being indistinguishable from God, could have created such a shield, and so scientific disbelief is shown to be logically incompatible with the reality of God’s existence.

In The Neanderthal Parallax, Mary Vaughan and Ponter Boddit engage in many lengthy discussions of religion, as Vaughan is a practicing Catholic and Boddit (like all Barasts) is a complete atheist. In the third novel, Hybrids, Sawyer introduces current scientific research about a possible neurological basis for religious belief and experience. The persistence of belief on the Gliksin Earth, and the complete lack of it on the Barast Earth, Sawyer explains, is caused by the development of a “god organ” in Gliksin brains during evolution. Late in Hybrids, fluctuations in the Gliksin Earth’s magnetic field result in the stimulation of each Gliksin’s god organ, producing a global outbreak of “religious” visions which are thus revealed to be merely electromagnetic hallucinations. Like the supernova shield in Calculating God, the Gliksin “god organ” conclusively settles the debate over the validity of religious experience and belief, though this time granting victory to the atheists. The complete reversal of position from his 2000 novel, Calculating God, to the 2002–2003 time span of The Neanderthal Parallax, is intriguing, but Sawyer’s reliance on conclusive proof lacks subtlety. A more nuanced psychological depiction of Vaughan’s loss of faith, as in Mary Doria Russell’s The Sparrow, would have been welcome.

Of course, the solution is to read The Neanderthal Parallax as a hybridized form incorporating utopian traditions, dystopian traditions, and classic sf in a blend that, though not free from difficulties, nevertheless manages to remain consistently thought-provoking and entertaining. In this, Sawyer has succeeded in bringing the utopian form into the twenty-first century while avoiding the danger of falling into utopian stagnation and boredom. An excellent example of this is Kim Stanley Robinson’s Pacific Edge, the utopian volume of his Three Californias trilogy. Though Pacific Edge is extremely well-written, the book labors under the burden of the fundamental problem that utopia—perfection achieved and maintained through stasis—is boring. Pacific Edge lacks the drama of the other two books in the series, The Wild Shore and The Gold Coast, written in the apocalyptic and dystopian modes, respectively. Sawyer, though, infuses just enough dystopia into The Neanderthal Parallax to create tension and avoid the sometimes over-sweet tone that afflicts the utopian tradition. In addition, Sawyer’s addition of traditional sf elements keeps the books moving along at an enjoyable pace overall. Sawyer’s Neanderthal Parallax suggests that the utopian mode, though it lacks the sexiness of dystopian or sf narratives, can bring valid and valuable insights and perspectives to contemporary literature. Finally, and perhaps most importantly, the popularity of the series indicates that current readers are having no trouble navigating the shifting registers Sawyer employs; They seem to be thoroughly enjoying his hybrid vision of the modern utopian narrative.

Richard Parent lives in Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania.
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Intoxicating imagination

Robert Sawyer’s stories could convert sci-fi unbelievers

ITERATIONS

by Robert J. Sawyer


Ideas. Inspirations. Robert J. Sawyer’s stories are embodiments of IBM’s philosophy: think. He’s Canada’s top science-fiction writer; the first anthology of his short stories trumpets the fact with blaring clarity.

Every damn story simmers with originality, with outrageous ideas that Sawyer gives plausibility. To read him is to take a breathless run. He twists urban legends of alligators in sewers; delves into a paleontological discovery of vampires; regards the possibility of a man murdering himself in parallel universes; examines God and the Devil betting on humanity. But I haven’t even mentioned the two best: “Just Like Old Times” has history’s most prolific serial killer hunt the very origin of humanity as a Tyrannosaurus Rex, and “You See But You Do Not Observe” has Sherlock Holmes jump to the end of the 21st century to solve a case of galactic proportions — he has to find trillions of missing humans. Way cool.

These are stories to make you drunk on imagination; they’re narcotics of science and philosophy. Yet they carry a resonance of Sawyer’s deeper visions. He’s concerned with man as man, with woman as woman, how we find ourselves in the universe, how as an individual and member of a race a man’s fate is a product of his choices. He speculates, as James Gardner writes in the book’s introduction, on “what would have happened if you made a different decision at some crucial moment, if you turned left instead of right?”

These stories turn left and right, and then go down, twist up, unfold themselves inside out, make you peer beyond the looking-glass. This is Sawyer’s Wonderland; those who normally disregard sci-fi (I used to be one of them) may be converted, gain an affinity for sheer speculation. And that’s an idea that touches Sawyer’s purpose: to remake ourselves simply by thinking differently.

TREVOR KLASSEN

One Canadian in no danger of fading into obscurity is Robert J. Sawyer. His fifteen novels have won so much by way of critical and popular praise (he's the only person ever to have won five of the world's major SF awards) that it's easy to overlook his superlative (and award-winning) short stories. *Iterations* demonstrates that Sawyer is very much in command of the short-story form, and has been for a long time: the collection spans over twenty years' worth of writing.

What's surprising here is not the consistently high calibre of the stories, but the range. Fans will recognize some of the themes from Sawyer's novels—dinosaurs, parallel (or not) universes, Canadian identity. But there are also surprises. He can write crime, horror and fantasy with the best of them, and of course the way he can bring ideas together is always a surprise. Sherlock Holmes and Schrödinger's Cat? Why not? Canada Post and free will? For sure. If you've ever wondered why many insist SF stands for speculative fiction rather than science fiction, this is the collection to read.

It's also an excellent introduction to the field for those who may wonder what all the fuss is about (SF is just *Star Trek*, isn't it?). Sawyer's down-to-earth prose never gets in the way of the story, although (no surprise) he can also wax lyrical, as in the gripping "Fallen Angel." And, of course, he can make you laugh. His website's an award-winner too: www.sfwriter.com
FLASHFORWARD

Robert J. Sawyer. Tor, $23.95 (320p)

A science experiment that unwittingly shuts down all human consciousness for two minutes is the catalyst for a creative exploration of fate, free will and the nature of the universe in Sawyer's soul-searching new work (after Factoring Humanity). In April 2009, Lloyd and Theo, two scientists at the European Organization for Particle Physics (CERN), run an experiment that accidentally transports the world's consciousness 20 years into the future. When humanity reawakens a moment later, chaos rules. Vehicles whose drivers passed out plow into one another; people fall or maim themselves. But that's just the beginning. After the horror is sorted out, each character tries desperately to ensure or avoid his or her future. Trapped by his guilt for causing so much destruction and driven by a need to rationalize, Lloyd tries to prove that free will is a myth. Theo discovers that he will be murdered and begins to hunt down his killer—tempting fate as in the Greek dramas of his ancestors. Some people start on their appointed roads early, others give up on life because of what they've seen. Using a third-person omniscient narrator, Sawyer shifts seamlessly among the perspectives of his many characters, anchoring the story in small details. This first-rate, philosophical journey, a terrific example of idea-driven SF, should have wide appeal.

Starred reviews denote books of exceptional merit.
A GUIDE TO THE BEST BOOKS OF THE YEAR

FICTION

The Love of a Good Woman
By Alice Munro
McClelland & Stewart; $32.99
This latest collection of stories from Canadian author Alice Munro shows that she truly has a
talent that comes into the world perhaps once
in a generation. Her subtlety and control in cre-
at ing layers of depth and meaning are un-
equaled, certainly in Canada, if not the world.
There are eight stories, each quite long, and
each combines a fragile delicacy with an almost
mordant and unflinching look at how love be-
tween men and women finds its way into the
world. These are ordinary people in ordinary
homes and families. Munro makes them all ex-
traordinary.

Lambs of God
By Marele Day
Riverhead Books; $32.50
This is a delightful novel about a long-forgotten
cloister of nuns on an island. Most of their order
has died out. They have seen no outsiders in
decades; men, they have scarcely any idea
about. Then one day a brisk young priest arrives
with a plan that can only bring them calamity.
This isn’t a comedic work, although neither is it
heavy hearted. It has an acute view of women
and men, worldliness and transcendence. Day
has that most rare of writerly gifts: The ability
to imagine truly original characters and their world
with respect instead of derision.

Archangel
By Robert Harris
Random House of Canada; $34.95
A thinking man’s thriller, this book taps into one
of the world’s richest natural sources of horror:
Josef Stalin. Harris’s anti-hero Kelso, a seedy,
not-quite-successful historian, is approached by
a former NKVD officer who claims to have been
present at Stalin’s death. There was a notebook,
the old spook says, that his boss, the secret po-
lice chief Beria, stole from Stalin’s private pa-
pers. Kelso tries to verify the old man’s story —
and the plot breaks into a wild Cossack gallop
that doesn’t end until the last page.

Birds of America
Stories by Lorrie Moore
Knopf; $32
These stories have already garnered rave re-
views and the concluding piece, “People Like
That Are the Only Ones Here: Canonical Bab-
ing ... Peed Onk,” won the O. Henry Prize this
year for short fiction. So of course they’re good.
But the bonus is that they’re funny, sometimes
tender, more often edgy and cutting. That win-
ing story is about a child diagnosed with can-
cer and the surreal, harrowing journey his par-
tents take through the world of “Peed Onk” —
pediatric oncology. Life teeters continually on
the brink of unspeakable tragedy or at least de-
spair; who wouldn’t want to laugh nervously?

The Museum Guard
By Howard Norman
Knopf Canada; $32.95
Howard Norman weaves the stories of a museum
guard, his girlfriend and his uncle into an el-
egant tapestry, convincingly turning outrageous
and implausible events into the commonplace.
The guard’s first-person narrative is masterful;
there’s just enough naivete, self-doubt and un-
worldliness to convince you this is, in fact, a mu-
seum guard, writing down his very curious tale.
Norman’s style is lean and understated; his hu-
mour so wry it almost seems unintentional. The
Museum Guard is one of those rare novels that
can keep you up all night. The only reason to put
it down before finishing it is to savour Norman’s
strangely lit world just a little bit longer.

My Year of Meats
By Ruth L. Ozeki
Viking; $39.99
You’ll either love or hate this offbeat
book, which won this year’s Kiriyama
Pacific Rim Book prize. A young, hip
Japanese-American TV director pro-
duces a weekly series designed to en-
courage housewives to buy American
meat. The sponsor of the show insists his
downtrodden, bulimic and infer-
tile wife make the recipes each week.
The director finds the meat industry
so riddled with unsafe practices that
she is moved to produce an exposé;
the housewife, inspired by one of the
segments, leaves her husband and
moves to the U.S. This book is not for
the squeamish.

Understanding Ken
By Pete McCormack
Douglas & McIntyre; $18.95
Told from an 11-year-old’s perspective,
Understanding Ken recounts more
than just the passage of a B.C. boy’s
PeeWee hockey season in the early
1970s. Egged on by his loud, expe-
hiture-hurling father, his immediate
goal is to reach the B.C. Cham-
pionships. But there are more dif-
ficult “seasons” he must pass through as
well; the sour divorce of his parents
and, perhaps most disturbing, goal-
tender Ken Dryden’s traitorous retire-
ment from the Montreal Canadiens.
McCormack’s writing is very ener-
getic and extremely funny. There’s
something quintessentially Canadian
about Understanding Ken that will
appeal to those who don’t know Dry-
den from a penalty box.

Four Letters of Love
By Niall Williams
Picador; $29.99
A man quits his job and abandons his
family when he feels called by God to
paint. A young girl, disappointed by
life, decides to settle for a tweed mer-
chant’s offer of marriage. Williams
masterfully sends the two stories spi-
ralling into the funnel of their un-
avoidable convergence. His writing is
deft and evocative, his descriptive
passages cutting to the lyrical heart
of the matter. His linking of life, land
and weather is also a very Canadian
theme, and perhaps accounts for our
love affair with Irish authors.

Factoring Humanity
By Robert J. Sawyer
Tor; $31.95
The story sounds hokey — an intelli-
gent race from beyond the stars com-
municate the instructions for building
a machine or ship that can travel
through space and time to put the
traveller in touch with the most inti-
mate thoughts of other beings. But
this is a terrific read, even — perhaps
especially — for people who don’t like
science fiction. It explores the whole
idea of global empathy and Jungian
psychology.
Sawyer has written a novel that is accessible and interesting.

The story begins with two professors at the University of Toronto, who are confronted by their daughters' exploration of quantum mechanics and a possible link to a childhood mystery. The novel explores themes of love, science, and family secrets.

Science, soul and real people

Books
It’s murder being an alien

Review by
MARGARET CANNON

CROSSOVERS — blendings of science fiction and crime fiction — have been around for decades. Arthur Conan Doyle tossed a bit of mystery into his Professor Challenger series of stories and Harlan Ellison charmed readers with the Lord Darcy investigations in a world where magic was legal and science outlawed. More recently, Sean Stewart’s Passion Play explored the relationship between state religion and politics, while E. D. James set The Children Of Men in a society on the edge of extinction.

Now we have Illegal Alien, Robert J. Sawyer’s ninth novel, and while I can’t say definitively that the SF is great, I can certainly tell you that this is one fine courtroom drama, with enough twists in the plot to keep any mystery fan flipping the pages.

The story begins with a classy First Contact. U.S. presidential science adviser Frank Nobilio and his long-time friend Clete Calhoun are en route to meet the pilot of a ship that has landed in the Atlantic. The pilot, when he appears, is “not humanoid.” He has four eyes, four appendages arranged around a circular body, and tentacles weaving on his head. His name is Hask and he is a Tosok from a planet near Alpha Centauri. His mother ship is moored just outside Earth’s atmosphere, damaged and in need of repair. He and his fellows have come in peace.

Frank and Clete, along with all other scientists on Earth, are eager to see Tosok engineering, learn about Tosok biology, life and culture. Hask and his six crewmen are given the run of Earth, travelling from city to city, meeting with dignitaries, seeing Earth’s wonders, sharing Earth science. But then, in Los Angeles, Clete Calhoun is found dead, savagely murdered and mutilated.

The evidence points to a Tosok. In fact, the evidence points to Hask, but what could have induced him to kill a man he called his friend? There is no motive, nothing in either Clete’s or Hask’s backgrounds to explain the crime. Hask insists he is innocent, but a crusading district attorney wants the alien tried and convicted, and he’s ready to go for the death penalty. Frank Nobilio believes Hask is innocent and asks Dale Rich, famed civil rights attorney, to defend the alien.

This novel is far too good to attempt to summarize. Let’s just say that Sawyer delves into all sorts of strange and wonderful conflicts, including the war between science and belief, and just what God may or may not be. There are fascinating philosophical discussions between believing humans and aliens from a world where God is not personal but universal. There is a chapter on the moral issues, as well as the scientific problems, of how the state will, if necessary, execute an extraterrestrial, and courtroom dramas and confrontations that put Perry Mason and John Grisham to shame.

Sawyer, one of Canada’s finest SF authors, has already won the Aurora and Nebula awards for science fiction, as well as an Arthur Ellis award from the Crime Writers of Canada for a short story. Expect to see Illegal Alien shortlisted for an Arthur Ellis this year, and for my vote, it’s the best Canadian mystery of 1997.

Margaret Cannon writes The Globe’s Murder & Mayhem column.
Out of this World
HENRY MIETKIEWICZ

Of course, unless you share Sawyer’s understanding of the implications of certain astronomical data, you won’t come close to collaring the crook. But at the same time you’ll feel Sawyer has avoided the temptation to sucker you by withholding key facts about the extra-terrestrials.

Finally, sci-fi fans should note that Illegal Alien is as much a true science-fiction novel as it is a mystery. The climax contains not just the unmasking of the murderer, but a clever comment on humans’ assumptions that the first E.T.s will all share the same motives and goals in estabishing inter-galactic contact with us.

Among some other current releases worth noting:
- The relentless deterioration of Anne Rice continues in her latest novel, Violin (Knopf, 289 pages, $32.95). Burdened by plotting that’s nearly incoherent and prose that sounds like bad high-school poetry, Rice spins a hallucinatory tale about a supernatural stranger who uses music in a bid to rescue a bereaved woman from depression.

Henry Mietkiewicz appears monthly in The Star’s Saturday book pages, rotating with columns devoted to small press publishing, romance and poetry.

Robert Sawyer
Sawyer’s hero has TIME BOMB in his body waiting to go off

HAYDEN TRENHOLM

Frameshift, Robert J. Sawyer has taken the next step in his evolution as a writer, not only as one of Canada’s finest science fiction novelists, but also as one of our best story-tellers. Not that this book will make the short list for a governor-general’s award — but that says more about the way lists are compiled than the quality of Sawyer’s fiction.

This is a finely crafted novel with a riveting plot and complex characters that one can care about deeply. More importantly, it deftly explores issues of bio-ethics and moral philosophy that are vital in a technologically society plummeting towards the 21st century.

Set minutes in the future, Frameshift tells the story of Pierre Tardivel, Canadian geneticist, in his frantic race to “be remembered” as a scientist before the deadly biological time bomb in his body goes off. For Tardivel may have inherited the gene for Huntington’s Chorea, an incurable neurological disorder.

Although a test for the gene is available, Tardivel, like many in this situation, chooses not to take it, preferring uncertainty to a certain death sentence.

Yet, someone else seems to have made the decision for him. In the opening scene of the novel, Tardivel is the victim of a violent attack from a known neo-Nazi. From that point on, we are taken on an amazing ride of mystery, intrigue, scientific speculation and human courage.

At the recent ConVersion Science Fiction convention in Calgary, another leading SF writer, Kim Stanley Robinson, suggested the scientific process is not amenable to fictional treatment.

To some extent, Sawyer has proven him wrong. By using the metaphor of the murder...

SAWYER: Author takes short jump into the future

investigation, told in parallel to the scientific activities of Tardivel, Sawyer succeeds in illuminating aspects of science as a human activity — a process of gathering facts, proposing theories and following blind alleys, thinking logically and making leaps of logic.

He also does a fine job of showing that science is driven not merely by an objective quest for the truth but by human desires and agendas, not all of them upright or moral.

Unlike many novels of the near future, this is not an apocalyptic vision of a disintegrating society (even though it is mostly set in northern California). In fact, it is a rather hopeful vision, almost a return to the golden age of science fiction that believed in the future and the potential for human development.

Perhaps this is Sawyer’s Canadian upbringing showing, a belief in the potential of civil society to overcome the narrow interests of profit and bigotry to create a better world. Sawyer sees the dark side of technological change and globalization, yet remains hopeful that we, as a society, can overcome them. After reading Frameshift, so do I.

(Trenholm is a Calgary writer.)
Robert J. Sawyer's fifth book departs from the previous three that made up his successful Quintaglio Ascension Trilogy in that the dinosaurs – here, again, there are dinosaurs – are separated from us not by space but by time.

Most of the action in *End of an Era* takes place in the Alberta Badlands, now and long ago. But the novel displays the Thornhill, Ontario, author's breadth of imagination and humanity. Sawyer writes in the mainstream of traditional science fiction with the scientific panache and grandeur of Arthur C. Clarke, but with the human touch of Isaac Asimov. It's not too much to say that this is one of the most accomplished SF novels of the last 10 years.

Brandon Thackeray is a Canadian paleontologist some 15 years in our future who is chosen by the National Research Council, along with his friend and rival-in-love Miles Jordan, to go back to the Mesozoic. The pair is ill-matched, the project is ill-fated, and the whole thing is ill-funded, which introduces opportunities to take shots at the Canadian-ness of the thing.

Thackeray and Jordan's goal is to discover the real reason why dinosaurs suddenly died out. That becomes the least of the scientific riddles uncovered (or created) as they tinker with the past. Indeed, Sawyer "explains" dinosaur gigantism and the odd geology at the end of the Mesozoic; he also manages to cover the creation of the asteroids, the genesis of viruses, and some neat speculation on the search for extraterrestrial life. The science is audacious; informed, and compelling in the fictional context, and time travel is treated with ingenuity and dignity.

All this talk of science is not to slight Sawyer's talents as a storyteller or as a creator of characters. *End of an Era* shows that he is accomplished at both. Since his first novel, *Golden Fleece* (1990), Sawyer has become a polished, exciting writer. If there is a fault found with *Era*, it may be that his polish makes this novel seem deceptively simple, even easy. But that's a problem that great writers have faced forever. The up side is much greater, even there: this book is both accessible and entertaining. – by R. John Hayes, a writer who lives in Devon, Alberta.

★ Starred reviews indicate books of exceptional merit.
Golden Fleece, Robert J. Sawyer
(Warner/Questar, paper 250 pp, $4.95)

The COLONY ship Argo is completely controlled by a computer named Jason, who is a good deal smarter than he needs to be. In fact, he has so much spare time that he has found a hobby — trying to decode the binary message received from aliens only three months before the Argo took flight. After all, he was taking his ship and his people out into space; it was important to know who else was out there. So important, in fact, that the artificial-intelligence computers that first detected the message decided to keep its existence a secret from human beings until after the Argo left — so that the scientists aboard the ship wouldn’t be tempted to stay home and work on the message from space.

Now, though, Jason has started killing. Not randomly, though; he kills only those who are coming too close to finding out a secret that he is determined to keep. Golden Fleece centers around the efforts of an engineer named Aaron to solve the mystery of the death of Diana, the ex-wife whose contract he recently neglected to renew. Jason has all the advantages. Not only does he control all the ship’s systems, but also he has complete access to the neural-net simulations of all the crew. That means he knows every painful little memory in Aaron’s mind. He knows how his opponent thinks. Aaron doesn’t have much of a chance to solve the mystery; and if he does solve it, he has even less chance of going on living.

What Sawyer sets up in the Golden Fleece is a damn good science fiction mystery. What he delivers is much more. Yes, the mystery is resolved to our perfect satisfaction. But more important, Sawyer gives us something far more rare in this age of the quotidian hero: a genuine tragedy. It is no accident that he invokes Greek myth in the title of the book. Sawyer is willing to play on the same field as Aeschylus and Euripides, and he proves himself equal to the task. Jason is, in my opinion, the deepest computer character in all of science fiction, which takes nothing away from HAL and MYCROFT. And Aaron is, in my opinion, one of the most well-drawn, fallible, human detectives I’ve encountered in mystery fiction — in a league with, say, Rendell’s Inspector Wexford. And because Sawyer is clearly not setting up a detective series, Aaron is able to go through genuine self-discovery and transformation during the course of the novel.

Golden Fleece has, in its 250 pages, more than most novels twice its length: Tragedy. Mystery. Character. Since Sawyer is a long-time writer about hi-tech subjects, it has a completely believable milieu. And can he write? Yes — with near-Asimovian clarity, with energy and drive, with such grace that his writing becomes invisible as the story comes to life in your mind.

This is a book you won’t want to miss. It won’t be a snob hit — it’s too accessible and exciting for the li-fi types to take it to their bosoms. Instead, it’s the kind of book that you might as well buy two copies of in the first place — one to read and keep, and one to shove at your friends, saying, “Read this! Now!”

I say you won’t want to miss this book, but there’s a very good chance that you will. This is Sawyer’s first novel, after all, and his short fiction has appeared only in Amazing (which is like being published) and in Canadian publications, so you probably haven’t heard of him. Worse yet, Golden Fleece is scheduled as a December book from Warner/Questar, and it isn’t their lead title (the lead is number five in some dragon series). In case you didn’t notice, the December time slot is the kiss of death in science fiction — books published then are routinely ignored for awards; there’s not even time for the title to float upward on the Nebula recommendations and attract attention that way. Warner isn’t giving it much push as a secondary book; the cover is fairly ordinary hi-tech stuff; there’s no commercial hype to alert you to the fact that this book is something special; you’ll have about a month to pick it up before it gets swept off the shelves to make way for the January books.

All you get is this review — and any other reviews that might come out. But that’s our job, isn’t it? To find the good ones and tell you about them.

How good is Golden Fleece? A friend of mine — an English professor — used to ask, whenever he saw me, “Why are you still writing that spaceship stuff?” Now I can answer. Because this is possible.

Orson Scott Card is the Hugo- and Nebula-Award Winning Author of Ender’s Game and Speaker for the Dead.